Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4



CIA Internal Use Only Access Controlled by CIA Historical Staff



PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE AGENCY HEADQUARTERS BUILDING JANUARY 1946 - JULY 1963

VOLUME II APPENDIXES

Secret

DCI-6

June .1973

Copy 3 of 4

PERMANENT HISTORICAL DOCUMENT

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4

WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States, within the meaning of Title 18, sections 793 and 794, of the US Code, as amended. Its transmission or revelation of its contents to or receipt by an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

E2 IMPDET
Classified by ______

WARNING NOTICE SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED

Approved For Release 2002/05/05/CEAFRDP93-00939R000100020001-4

CIA Internal Use Only
Access Controlled by CIA Historical Staff

DCI-6

PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE AGENCY HEADQUARTERS BUILDING JANUARY 1946 - JULY 1963

VOLUME II APPENDIXES

| | by | | |
|--|----|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

June 1973

HISTORICAL STAFF

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Approved For Release 2002/0597 CRAPROP93-00939R000100020001-4

Contents

Volume II

| App | <u>endixes</u> | | • | | | Page |
|-----|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|------------|
| Α. | Chronology | | | • • | | . 205 |
| В. | Source References . | | • ,, • • | | • • • | . 209 |
| c. | Congressional Referen | ces | • • • | • • | • • • • | . 230 |
| D. | Staff Study | • • | | | | . 237 |
| E. | Buildings Occupied by 26 January 1953 . | CIA | as o | f | | . 240 |
| F. | Outline Plan for the Proposed CIA Headq | | | | | . 243 |
| G. | Testimony of Admiral | Phil | lips, | USN | (Ret.) | . 245 |
| н. | Letter, Allen Dulles Chairman, Defense House Committee on letter, Rowland Hu Bureau of the Budg statement of Allen with the request f to construct a hea tion for the Centr Agency | Subc App ghes et t Dul or a dqua | ommit ropri , Dir o All les i n app rters | tee, ation ector en Du n con ropri inst | as; alles; anection ation | n . 254 |
| I. | CIA Organizational Ar Development of "de for a Permanent He ing, 4 October 195 | fini adqu | tive" arter | Plan s Bui | s ld- | . 270 |
| J. | Memo, Lyman B. Kirkpa Director, Support, | | | | | . 271 |
| K. | Sites and Site Acquis | itio | n Dat | a 195 | 7-69 | . 275 |
| L. | Professional Achievem | ent. | Award | s. | | . 277 |

Approved For Release 2002/05000 CRAFROP93-00939R000100020001-4

| | ± | age |
|----|--|-----|
| М. | History of the CIA Cornerstone Box | 280 |
| | Tab A. Contents of Cornerstone Box | 283 |
| | Tab B. Method of Construction of Metal Boxes Used to Contain | |
| | These Articles | 285 |
| N. | Invitation to Cornerstone-Laying Ceremony. | 287 |
| 0. | Description of the Headquarters Building, February 1962 | 288 |
| P. | Photographs | 295 |

Appendix A

Chronology

| Date | Event |
|-----------|--|
| Mar 47 | Lieutenant General Hoyt S. Vanden- berg, Director of Central Intelli- gence, requests that a building be assigned to CIG. |
| 16 Jan 48 | Rear Admiral Roscoe H. Hillenkoetter, DCI, calls for meeting to consider construction of a building for CIA. |
| 29 Apr 48 | First survey of Agency space require- ments. |
| 16 Mar 49 | Second survey of Agency space requirements. |
| 30 Sep 49 | Third survey of Agency space require- ments. |
| 31 Mar 50 | GSA turns down proposed additions to "M" and "Q" Buildings. |
| 11 Aug 50 | Agency Assistant Directors and Staff Chiefs estimate "ultimate" space requirements. |
| Oct 50 | Lieutenant General Walter Bedell Smith, DCI, privately briefs Congressional leaders on CIA's building requirements. |
| Aug 51 | Agency seeks appropriation of \$38 million for new building. |
| 28 Sep 51 | Military Construction Act authorizing \$38 million for CIA building is approved. |

| Date | Event | |
|-----------|--|----------------|
| 8 Oct 5] | House Committee on Appropriations denies funds for the new building. | |
| 1 Aug 52 | Agency staff study recommends ac- quiring Navy Building. | |
| 24 June 5 | The General Services Administration recommends site at Langley, Virginia. | >- |
| 16 Nov 54 | Allen W. Dulles, DCI, requests the Director, Office of Defense Mobilization to grant exception to "dispersion standards" for CIA building. | |
| 22 Nov 54 | Colonel L. K. White, DDA, establishes the Agency steering committee to provide overall guidance and general direction for the planning of the new building. | ; - |
| 3,5 May 5 | The National Capital Regional Planning Council (NCRPC) and the National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC) approve the Agency's application to utilize a site for the new building in Langley, Virginia. | |
| 15 Jul 55 | Public Law 161, 84th Congress, author- izes \$46 million for construction of Headquarters Building plus \$8.5 million for George Washington Memorial Parkway to Langley site. | |
| 4 Aug 55 | Public Law 219, 84th Congress, appropriates \$5.5 million for plans and and specifications. | |
| 25 Oct 55 | Report on the proposed location of the Headquarters Building is submitted. | 25X1A |

| Date | Event |
|------------|--|
| 5,6 Dec 55 | Selection of the Langley site is approved by National Capital Regional Planning Council and disapproved by National Capital Planning Commission. |
| 2 Feb 56 | The National Capital Planning Commission approves CIA location plans at Langley, Virginia. |
| 6 Feb 56 | The Public Building Services, GSA, is selected as agent for Headquarters project. |
| 1 Jun 56 | Allen W. Dulles, DCI, appears before the Defense Subcommittee of the House Appropriations Committee in support of construction funding requirements. |
| 5 Jul 56 | A contract with the architectural firm of Harrison and Abramovitz is signed. |
| 27 Jul 56 | Public Law 814, 84th Congress, appropriate ates balance authorized by Public Law 161, 84th Congress. |
| Aug 56 | First soil test borings are conducted at new site. |
| 22 Mar 57 | "Headquarters Space Directive D" is ap- proved as basis for working drawings. |
| 2 Aug 57 | Tentative drawings and preliminary specifications are submitted. |
| Oct 57 | Clearing the site begins. |
| 5 Mar 58 | Final working drawings and specifications are approved. |
| Oct 58 | Grading and drainage of site is completed. |

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4 $\overset{\bullet}{\text{SECRET}}$

| Date | Event |
|-----------|---|
| Nov 58 | The site is placed under security patrol, with security badges issued to contractors. |
| May 59 | Work on the superstructure begins. |
| Sep 59 | Excavation and foundation is 95 percent complete. |
| 32Nov 59 | President Eisenhower lays the corner- stone. |
| Sep 60 | Superstructure is more than half completed. |
| Mar 61 | Entire building is enclosed. |
| 19 Sep 61 | Occupation of the north half of the building begins. |
| Feb 62 | The south half of the building and the cafeteria are completed. |
| 15 May 62 | The building is completly occupied. |

Appendix B

Source References*

- Letter, DCI to D/BOB, 31 Mar 47, w/attachments; letter, DCI to Commissioner PBS, 25 Mar 47, sub: Space Problems Permanent Bldg for CIG. U. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 19.
- Letter, DCI to Commissioner PBS, 25 Mar 47. (1, above)
- 3. Letter, Commissioner PBS to DCI, 3 Apr 47. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 4. Memo, D/E for P&A to DCI, 17 Jun 47, with 5 attachments. C. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 5. Letter, A/AD, BOB to DCI, 22 Apr 47. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 6. Letter, DCI to A/FWA, 16 Jul 47. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 7. Letter, A/FWA to DCI, 23 Jul 47. U. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 8. Letter, DCI to A/FWA, 16 Jan 48. U. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 9. Letter, A/FWA to DCI, 2 Feb 48. U. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- Memo, C/SB for EX/A&M, 27 Feb 48, sub: Space Chart. S.

Memo, EX/A&M for EX/D, 19 Mar 48, sub: Site for New Bldg. S.

^{*} Unless otherwise noted, sources are available in document file HS/HC 849 of the CIA Historical Staff.

- 11. Memo, EX/A&M for EX/D, 19 Mar 48, sub: Site for New Bldg. S.
- 12. Letter, C/Services Br to A/FWA, 29 Apr 48. U. (Sub and loc same as 1, above)
- 13. Draft, Letter, C/Services Br to A/FWA, 28 Apr 48. U. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 19.
- 14. Memo, Ex Dir for EX/A&M, 18 Feb 48, sub: Space Survey, S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 15. Memo, C/Contract Section for C/Services Br, 18 Apr 48, sub: Space, S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- Memo, D/CIA Ex for DCI, 16 Mar 49, sub: Space,
 S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 17. Report, C/Contract Section to C/Admin Staff, 13 Oct 49, sub: Space Report. S. OC, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 18. CIA Historical Staff, Chronology 1946-65, Vol I, 1946-55, Jun 70, p. 34. S.
- 19. Letter, Admin/GSA to DCI, 18 Apr 50, w/attachments ltr Sec Interior to GSA, 31 Mar 50, S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 20. Memo, A/EX CIA for Each Asst Dir, 1 Aug 50, sub: CIA Space Requirements. C. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 19.
- 21. Working Chart, 11 Aug 50, sub: CIA Space Requirements, New Bldg file. S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 19.
- 22. Ibid.
- 23. CIA Historical Staff, Chronology 1946-65, Vol I, Jun 70, p. 41. S; CIA Historical Staff Key Personnel Named in Agency Regulatory Issuances. (hereafter Key Personnel, Apr 71. S.

- 24. Memo for File, 12 Nov 60, CBPS, Discussions w/Walter L. Pforzheimer. IUO. BPS/OL file 19.
- 25. Memo, Legislative Counsel for DCI, 28 Aug 51, sub: Material for the Briefing of Senators Russell and Byrd. S. LC files, Buildings and Grounds No. 1, 1951-53.
- 26. Memo, Curator, Historical Intelligence Collection for Support Services Historical Officer (SSHO), 10 Feb 71, sub: Comments on the Historical Study entitled Planning and Construction of the Agency Headquarters Building, Jan 46-Jul 63. S. (HS/HC 849.)
- 27. Ibid.
- 28. Letter, Congressman Mahon to LC, 9 Oct 51. U. LC file, Building and Grounds No. 1, 1951-53.
- 29. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 30. Letter, Congressman Mahon to DCI, 23 Oct 51. U. DCI Handbook, Sec 4, BPS/OL. Original in LC file, Building & Grounds #1, 1951-53.
- 31. Letter, DCI to Congressman Mahon, 26 Oct 51. U. DCI Handbook, Sec 4, BPS/OL.
- 32. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
 - 33. Space Report, 25 Sep 51. S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
 - 34. Memo for File, 9 Apr 51, S. DDA.Chrono, Jan-May 51, Job 54-13, Box 2.
 - 35. Memo, C/AS for C/RECD, 15 Aug 51, sub: New Bldg for CIA. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.
 - 36. Memo, SO, CIA for C/AS, 30 July 51, sub: Security Measure, Proposed New Bldg. C. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.

Diary Notes of the ADDA (Colonel L. K. White), 31 Jan 52. S. Subsequently these became Diary Notes of the DDA and then Diary Notes of the DDS. Hereafter referred to as Diary Notes. Diary Notes for Colonel White's tenure as ADDA, DDA, and DDS are available in the Executive Registry.

37. Memo, C/RECD for C/AS, 2 Oct 51, sub: New Bldg. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.

Memo, C/RECD to C/AS, 18 Oct 51, sub: Space Study for New Bldg. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.

Memo, C/RECD to C/AS, 14 Dec 51, sub: Budget Est of New Bldg Cost. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.

- 38. Memo, C/RECD for C/AS, 2 Oct 51, sub: New Bldg. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.
- 39. Memo, AC/RECD for C/AS, 5 Feb 52, sub: Work Load. S. BPS/OL, Liaison file 38.
- 40. Miscellaneous papers and memorandums of 27 Oct 51 2 Dec 54. S. See items from 27 Oct 51 through 4 Dec 51. HS/HC-849.
- 41. Diary Notes, 2 Jan 52. S.
- 42. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71, p. 7. (26, above)
- 43. Memo of Conversation, ADDA, 9 Jan 52, sub: New CIA Bldg. S. ADDA, Chrono, 1 Jan 30 Jun 52, DDS Job 54-13, Box 2.
- 44. Memo for Record, ADDA, 8 Jan 52. S. ADDA Chrono, 1 Jan 30 Jun 52, DDS, Job 54-13, Box 2.
- 45. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 46. Ibid.

- 47. Diary Notes, 15 and 30 Jan 52. S.
- 48. Ibid, 20 Mar 52. S.
- 49. Ibid, 27/28 Feb 52. S.
- 50. Ibid, 5 Feb 52. S.
- 51. CIA Historical Staff, Key Personnel, Apr 71, p. 43, 77. S.
- 52. Memo, C/RECD for C/AS, 14 Dec 51, sub: Budget Est of New Bldg Cost. S. BPS/OL, Liaison file 38.
- 53. Ibid, 26 Feb 52. S.
- 54. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 55. Letter, DDA to Commissioner PBS, 3 Aug 51. U. DDA Chrono, 7 May 51, DDS Job 54-13, Box 2.
- 56. Letter, C/GSO to C/S, Mgmt Div, PBS, 22 May 51. U. OYC, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 57. CIA Historical Staff, Support Services Chronology of Key Personnel, May 70, p. 10. S.
- 58. Personal History Statement, 20 Dec 53. S. C/RECD/OL, Personal file.
- 59. Diary Notes, 2-12 and 19 Jul and 5 Nov 52. S.
- 60. Staff Study, ADDA to DCI, 1 Aug 52, sub: New CIA Bldg vs Move into Navy or Munitions Bldg. S. DDA Chrono, Jul-Sep 52, DDS, Job 54-13, Box 2.
- 61. Diary Notes, 5 and 11 Aug 52. S.

Memo, James A. Garrison to DDA, 6 Feb 53, sub: Estimates for Proposed CIA Building. S. LC file, Building & Grounds, No. 1, 1951-53.

62. Diary Notes, 5 Nov 52. S.

- 63. DCI Hist Series, HS-3, Vol IV, p. 381. S.
- 64. Legislative Counsel (LC) Daily Log, 2 Jun 53. S.
- 65. Ibid., 9 Jun 53. S.
- 66. Ibid., 16 Sep 53. S.
- 67. Space Report, 26 Jan 53. S. O/C, GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 68. CIA Historical Staff, Chronology 1946-65, Vol. I, Jun 70, p. 48-53. S.
- 69. Letter, DCI to D/ODM, 16 Nov 54. U. Copy, ER-6-2603, Book, BPS/OL file.
- 70. Letter, D/ODM to DCI, 31 Dec 54. U. Copy, ER-6-4649, Book, BPS/OL file.
- 71. CIA Notice | 19 Aug 52. S.
- 72. Chrono, OL Hist, 12 Nov 58, sub: Development of Hist. S. OL, BPS/OL file 2, Drawer 2.
- 73. Memo, C/OGS for C/OP&S, 2 Oct 52, sub: RECD. S. O/C GSO, Job 54-177, Box 18.
- 74. 1946-54. S.

25X1A

- 75. Debriefing of Admin Serv Officer, RECD/OL, 1949-70. S.
- 76. GSA Form 261, "Space Directive" for Dept of State, Sep 54. U. BPS/OL file.
- 77. Memo, C/OL for DDA, 28 Sep 54, sub: Site Selection, Hq Bldg. S. BPS/OL Liaison File 38.
- 78. Diary Notes, 13 Oct 54. S. (See 29 Sep and 4 Oct 54)

| 79. Diary Notes, 14 Dec 54. S | 79. | Diaru | Notes, | 14 | Dec | 54. | S |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|--------|----|-----|-----|---|
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|--------|----|-----|-----|---|

Memo for Record, C/RECD, sub: Visit to Bureau of Engraving and Printing, 15 Dec 54. S. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.

- 80. Diary Notes, 26 Jan 55. S.
- 81. Diary Notes, 14 through 18 Mar 55. S.
- 82. Memo for Record, C/RECD, sub: Meeting w/D.C. Gov Eng Commissioner, 8 Feb 55. C. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.
- 83. CIA Notice 8 Feb 54. S.
- 84. CIA Notice No. 26 Mar 55. S.
- 85. Legislative Counsel Files, Steering Committee meeting minutes [22 Dec 54]. S.
- 86. Memo for Record, C/RECD, sub: Meeting w/Commissioner Strobel, PBS, 14 Feb 55. C. BPS/OL Liaison file 38.
- 87. Memo, C/RECD for C/OL, 4 Jan 55, sub: Outline Plan CIA Hq Project. C. BPS/OL file 1951-55.
- 88. Hist T/O & Ceiling, BPS, 25 Aug 59. S. OL/AS, file F-2.

DCI Hist Series HS-3, Vol IV, Jul 60, p. 382. S.

Hist, OL, 1 Jul 52 - 30 Jun 56, 16 Dec 58. S. BPS/OL file 2.

CIA Notice 26 Mar 55. S.

89. Memo, C/Subcomm for DDS, 19 Sep 55, sub: Report on Status of Review Space Requirements. C. BPS/OL file 12.

Memo, DDS for each member, Subcomm, 19 Nov 55. S. BPS/OL file 1.

- 90. Diary Notes, 28 Apr 54. S. LC Daily Log, 8 Mar 54. S.
- 91. Diary Notes, 18 Feb and 14-18 Mar 55. S.
- 92. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 93. Memo, Joint NCPC-NCRPC Comm to NCPC, 7 Apr 55, sub: Report on CIA Application for Development of Site Near Langley, Virginia. U. HS/HC 849.
- 94. Diary Notes, 17 Jun 55. S.
- Ibid., 1-7, 8, 11 Jul, 13 Sep 55; 25 Jun 56. S. 95.
- 96. Ibid., 28 May 56. S. Letter, Acting DDS to the Hon. Harry F. Byrd, 27 Apr 59. U.
- 97. Diary Notes, 28 Jun 56. S.
- 98. Ibid., 18 Jan 56, 22 Oct 57, 27 Oct 59. S. Letter, Acting DDS to the Hon. Harry F. Byrd, 27 Apr 59. U. Letter, DDS to the Hon. Joel T. Broyhill,
- 2 Aug 60. U. 99.

Diary Notes, 18 Jan 56. S.

- 100. Memo for the Record, DDS, 29 Oct 59, sub: Meeting with Congressman Joel T. Broyhill. IUO. DDS 59-4507.
- 101. Letter, DDS to the Hon. Joel T. Broyhill, 31 Mar 61. U. DDS 61-0912.
- 102. Diary Notes, 22 Mar 57

Letter, Mrs. Elaine Handy to Allen Dulles, sub: Leasing Retail Stores at CIA Bldg, 8 Oct 59. U.

Letter, L. K. White to Mrs. Elaine Handy, sub: [Retail Stores in Hq Bldg] 6 Nov 59. U.

Letter, Martin L. Schnider to Col. Lawrence K. White, sub: Branch Bank in CIA Hq, 9 Oct 59. U.

Letter, L. K. White to Martin L. Schnider, sub: Branch Bank, 6 Nov 59. U.

Letter, L. K. White to A. H. Anger, sub: Candy Store in Hq Bldg, 24 Nov 61. U.

Memo, H. Gates Lloyd for Allen Dulles, sub: Conversation ... Regarding Banking Facilities at the New Bldg, 27 Feb 61. U.

- 103. Diary Notes, 11-26 Dec 56; 8, 21 Jan, 11, 25 Feb, 7 May 57; 26 Jun, 16 Sep 58; 22 Jun, 29 Sep 60; 14, 15 Dec 61; 17 Feb 64. S.
- 104. Ibid., 16 Jun and 6 Nov 59, 23 Mar 61. S.

Memo, L. K. White for Allen Dulles, sub: Conversation with Robert L. Groom, 6 Nov 59. IUO. (DDS 59-4599)

Letter, Robert L. Groom to Allen Dulles, sub: Property adjoining CIA, 10 Nov 59. U. (ER-11-9083)

Letter, Allen Dulles to Robert L. Groom, sub: Property Adjoining CIA, 25 Nov 59. U. (DDS 59 4681A)

- 105. Diary Notes, 14 May 57. S.
- 106. Ibid., 22 Jun 56. S.
- 107. Letter, DCI to the Hon. John M. Butler, 6 Mar 56. U. ER-7-9944.

- 108. Diary Notes, 16 Dec 57. S.
- 109. Ibid., 14 Nov 55. S.
- 110. Letter, Harland Bartholomew, Chairman, NCPC, to Allen Dulles, 8 Nov 55, sub: Communications to NCPC from various Congressmen re location of CIA Hq Bldg: Enclosures from Senator J. Glenn Beall, Matthew M. Neeley, and Everett M. Dirksen, and Representatives DeWitt S. Hyde and John L. McMillan. S.
- 111. Diary Notes, 14, 20 Sep 55, S.
 Letter, Allen Dulles to the Hon. Theodore R.
 McKeldin, 15 Oct, 19 Dec 55. U.
- 112. Congress and the Nation, 1945-64, Legislative Branch-14, 1420. Washington, Congressional Quarterly Service. U.
- 113. Diary Notes, 15 Nov 55. S.
- 114. *Ibid.*, 15 Oct 54; 5 Jan, 21-25 Feb, 14-18 Mar, 9 May, 22 Jun, 14 Sep 55; 6 Jun, 5 Aug, 16, 17, 29, 30 Oct, 16 Dec 57. S.

Memo for the Record, Richard P. Bray, 9 Mar 56, sub: Meeting with Joseph Freehill. S.

- 115. Diary Notes, 15 Jun 58. S.
- 116. Letter, Roger Fisher to Percival F. Brundage, 10 Jan 56. U.

Letter, Roger Fisher to Governor Sherman Adams, 11 Jan 56. U.

Letter, Assistant to the President to Roger Fisher, 23 Jan 56. U.

Letter, Roger Fisher to Governor Sherman Adams, 28 Jan 56. U.

Letter, V. E. Cooley, Acting Director, ODM, to Roger Fisher, 30 Jan 56. U.

Memo for the Record, DDS, 3 Feb 56, sub: Interview with Roger D. Fisher -- Site for proposed new CIA Bldg. IUO. DDS 56-391.

Letter, Arthur S. Flemming to Roger Fisher, 6 Feb 56. U.

Memo, General Counsel for DDS, 7 Feb 56, sub: Interview with Roger Fisher. IUO.

Memo, Edward P. Bray for DDS, sub: Meeting with Fairfax County Board of Supervisors, 9 Mar 56. S. ER 8-0145.

- 117. Diary Notes, 29-31 Oct 56; 29 Oct, 14 Nov 57. S.
- 118. Memo for the Record, DDS, 18 Jun 55, sub:
 Position of the "Administration" Concerning
 the Location of the Projected New CIA Hq Bldg.
 C.
- 119. Diary Notes, 5-9 Dec 55. S.
- 120. Letter, J. S. Everly to Hon. Carl Hayden, 13 Jul 55. U.
- 121. Testimony of Rear Admiral Neill Phillips, USN (ret) before the Senate Appropriations Committee, Subcommittee of DOD [re CIA site at Langley], 11 Jul 56. U.
- 122. Letter, DCI to the Hon. Dennis Chavez, Chairman DOD Subcommittee, Senate Committee on Appropriations, 18 Jul 55. U.
- 123. Letter, DDS to the Hon. Dennis Chavez, 21 Jul 55.
- 124. Letter, DCI to the Hon. George H. Mahon, 26 Jul 55. U.

- 125. Supplemental Appropriations Act 1957 (P. L. 84-814), 27 Jul 56. U.
- 126. Report, Site Location 25 Oct 55. U. BPS/OL file F-31.
- 127. Diary Notes, 20 Dec 55. S.
- 128. Ibid., 19 Dec 55. S.
- 129. Ibid., 3 Jan 56. S.
- 130. Ibid., 18, 19 Jan 56. S.
- 131. Memo of Conversation, Legislative Counsel, 5 Jan 56. IUO. DDS 56-106.
- 132. Diary Notes, 30 Jan-3 Feb 56. S.
- 133. Memo, DCI for Chairman NCPC, 3 Jan 56. U. In HIC files.
- 134. Letter, Chairman NCPC to DCI, 6 Feb 56. U. DDS 56-746.

Diary Notes, 30 Jan-3 Feb 56. S.

- 135. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 136. US Senate, Comm on Appropriations, Report No. 2580, 14 Jul 56 (Supplemental Appropriations Act 1957 (P. L. 84-814, 27 Jul 56). U.
- 137. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71, p. 16. (26, above)
- 138. Ibid.
- 139. Ibid.
- 140. Remarks made by DCI to CIA Steering Committee members, 4 Oct 55. S. BPS/OL file 1.

25X1A

| | · |
|------|---|
| 141. | CIA Notice 26 Nov 55. S. |
| 142. | Personnel File and Debriefing of 9 Sep 70. S. RECD/OL file. |
| 143. | Debriefing of 28 Sep 70. |
| 144. | Memo, proj officer for C/BPS, 16 Jan 56, sub: Plan Followed in Construction of US Air Force Academy. U. BPS/OL File 38. |
| 145. | CIA Historical Staff, Chronology 1946-65, Vol II, p. 2, Jun 70. S. |
| | The Evening Star (Washington, D. C.) "\$50 Million Hq", 16 Jan 56. BPS/OL file 38. |
| 146. | CIA 26 Nov 55. S. |
| 147. | CIA Historical Staff Chronology 1946-65, Vol I, p. 73, Jun 70. S. |
| 148. | Agreement between DCI and Administrator, GSA, 6 Feb 56. U. BPS/OL file 9. |
| 149. | Diary Notes, 13 and 20 Sep 55. S. |
| 150. | Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above) |
| 151. | Memo, CIA, Assistant to the DCI [Public Affairs], 9 Feb 56, w/attachments. C. BPS/OL file 9. |
| 152. | Notes for DCI's meeting with Harrison & Abramovitz, 17 Feb 56, w/General Officer Profile. U. BPS/OL file 9. |
| 153. | Agreement, DCI and A/GS, GSA, 6 Feb 56, Construction Agent. U. BPS/OL file 9. |
| 154. | Letter, DDS to Commissioner PBS, 18 Aug 55. U. BPS/OL file 17 |

- 155. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 156. Memo, DDS for DCI, 20 Apr 55, sub: Meeting with Gen. Bragdon and C. BPS/OL file 9.

25X1

157. Diary Notes, 28 Jun 55. S.

- 158. Ibid., 11 Jul 55. S.
- 159. Ibid., 12 Jul 55. S.
- 160. Letter, DCI to A/GSA, 3 Aug 55. U. BPS/OL file 17.
- 161. Memo for Record, DDS, 18 Aug 55, sub: Washington Meeting of 9 Aug 55 with W. K. Harrison Party & GSA. C. BPS/OL file 17.
- 162. Memo for Record, DDS, 14 Oct 55, sub: CIA/GSA Relationship: Proposed New Hq Bldg. S.
- 163. Memo for Record, DDS, 18 Aug 55. (165, above)
- 164. Diary Notes, 9-13 Jul 56. S.
- 165. Agreement, DCI & A/GSA, 6 Feb 56. U. BPS/OL file 12.
- 166. Memo for Record, DDS, 21 Feb 56, sub: Interview with Congressman Broyhill and Mr. Willgoos of Willgoos & Chase. IUO.
- 167. Ibid.

Legislative Counsel, Daily Log, 9 Feb 56. S.

- 168. Memo for Record, DDS, 1 Mar 56, sub: Architectural Services for Proposed New Building -- Williams, Coile & Blanchard. C. DDS 56-725.
- 169. Diary Notes, 19 Jan 56. S.
- 170. Memo, DDS for DCI, 8 Nov 56, sub: Satisfaction of Conditions Imposed by the Congress. IUO. DDS 56-3827.

- 222 -

- 171. Ibid.
- 172. Memo, DDS for D/OL, 29 Jan 57, sub: Planning for the Proposed New Hq Bldg. S. DDS 57-0303.
- 173. Diary Notes, 6 Feb 57. S.
- 174. Memo, IG for DDS, 4 Feb 57, sub: Planning for the Proposed New Hg Bldg. S.
- 175. Diary Notes, 7 Feb 57. S.
- 176. Letter and Plan, Topographic Survey, Langley Site. U. BPS/OL Soil Testing file.
- 177. Letter and Plan, Test Boring Report, Langley Site, U. BPS/OL Soil Testing file.
- 178. Space Report, 31 Dec 56. S. SM&F Br, RECD/OL, Box 18.
- 179. Diary Notes, 13 Feb 57. S.
- 180. Memo for Record, 26 Jul 56, sub: Visit to Office of A&E. S. BPS/OL file 17.
- 181. Letter, A/Commissioner PBS to DCI, 15 Nov 56. U. BPS/OL file 17.
- 182. Memo, Sec Asst for C/BPS, 22 Oct 56, sub: Clearance of A&E Personnel. C. BPS/OL A&E file.
- 183. Chart, Cost Estimates, 14 May 56. U. BPS/OL A&E file.
- 184. Diary Notes, 27 Dec 57. S.
- 185. Letter, Asst Commissioner, PBS to H&A, 27 Feb 57. U. BPS/OL A&E file.
- 186. 17th Report of the Commission of Fine Arts, 1 Jul 54 to 30 Jun 58, pp. 20-21. U.
- 187. Diary Notes, 2, 18, 24, 25 Jan 57; 12, 25, 26 28 Feb 57; 4, 8, 12 Mar 57; 19 Jun 57. S.

- 188. Ibid., 5 Dec 58. S.
- 189. Ibid., 5 Jun 57. S.
- 190. Ibid., 13 Jun 57. S.
- 191. Ibid., 21 Jun 57. S.
- 192. Steering Comm Meetings, 15 Feb 57 and 22 Mar 57. S. BPS/OL file 1.
- 193. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 194. Steering Comm Meetings, 20 Aug 57 to Mar 58. S. BPS/OL files 1, 2, & 10.
- 195. Memo, C/BPS to C/OL, 15 Nov 56, sub: Cost Estimates. S. BPS/OL file 17.
- 196. Project Directive, GSA Form 123a, 2 Aug 57. U. BPS/OL A&E file.
- 197. Memo for Record, 5 Mar 58. U. C/BPS/OL file F-18.
- 198. Minutes of Steering Comm, 7 Mar 58. S. BPS/OL file 1.
- 199. Memo, DDS for C/BPS, 17 Dec 57, sub: Visit to SAC Hq. S. BPS/OL file 38.
- 200. Memo for Record, DC/BPS/OL, 16 Aug 57, sub: Meeting of the Steering Comm. S. BPS/OL file 12.
- 201. Staff Study, D/OL to DDS, 2 Aug 56, sub: Staff Person Assigned to A-E Office. S. BPS/OL files 23 and 25.
- 202. Debriefing of on 17 Oct 70. S. BPS/OL file 25.
- 203. IG Survey of O/L, Jun 61, p. 248-253. S. BPS/OL files.

- 204. Ibid.
- 205. Memo, 12 Sep 60, sub: Comments and Recommendations. S. BPS/OL, file 12.
- 206. Diary Notes, 12 Sep 57. S.
- 207. Ibid., 15 Oct 57. S.
- 208. Ibid., 19 Dec 57. S.
- 209. Ibid., 19 Sep 58. S.
- 210. Ibid., 19 Dec 61. S.
- 211. Ibid., 17, 24 Jan 55; 7-8 Feb 55; 19, 21-25 Nov 55; 23-26 Jul 56; 2 Feb 59. S.

Memo for the Record, 19 Feb 57, sub: Telephone Conversation with AEC, ref: Cabin John Bridge. IUO. BPS/OL, file 38.

- 212. Diary Notes, 1 Mar 61. S.
- 213. Ibid., 16 Nov 61. S.
- 214. Ibid., 4 Aug 60. S.
- 215. Draft Outline, DDS Support Services Bulletin, 1 Aug 58, Hq Bldg Construction. S. BPS/OL file 12.
- 216. SSHS, OS-3, Security Program of the CIA, Physical Security, Jun 72, pp. 239-259. S.

Diary Notes, 5, 13-16 Nov 56; and 7 Feb, 1, 27 Mar, 16 Aug 57. S.

- 217. Ibid., 15, 19 Nov, 14, 21 Dec 62. S.
- 218. CIA Historical Staff, Chronology 1946-65, Vol. II, Jun 70, p. 17. S.

- 219. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 220. Draft Outline, DDS Support Services Bulletin, 7 Aug 59. S. BPS/OL file.
- 221. IG Survey of OL, Jun 61, p. 254. S. BPS/OL files.
- 222. Report, C/BPS to C/PS/OL, 6 Oct 59, sub:

 Committee Report. S. BPS/OL files.
- 223. Diary Notes, 4 May 60. S.
- 224. Draft Outline, DDS Support Services Bulletin, 25 May 60. S. BPS/OL files.

Report, C/BPS to C/PS/OL, 29 Mar 60, sub: Comm Report. S. BPS/OL files.

- 225. Diary Notes, 3 May 60. S.
- 226. Report, C/BPS to C/PS/OL, 3 Oct 60, sub:

 Comm Report. S. BPS/OL files.
- 227. Diary Notes, 20 Oct, 2,,15 Nov, 14, 20 Dec 60; 4 Jan 61. S.
- 228. Memo, AD/OL for DDS, 18 Nov 60, sub: Policy on Freezing Bldg Plans. C. DDS 60-4124.
- 229. Memo, AC/BPS for C/PS/OL, 4 Apr 61, sub:

 Report on FI Activities 1 Oct 60-31 Mar 61, S.

 BPS/OL files.
- 230. Memo, DC/BPS for C/PS/OL, 28 Sep 61, sub: Report to President's FI Advisory Board, 1 Apr-30 Sep 61. IUO. BPS/OL files.
- 231. Diary Notes, 4 Jun 57; 22 Jul, 17 Oct, 19 Nov 58; 21, 24 Jul, 1, 14, 28 Sep, 13, 19 Oct, 4 Nov 59. S.

25X1

232.

233.

234.

235.

238.

239.

240.

Debriefing of

Memo, L. K. White for Mr. Dulles, 9 Oct 59, sub: Date for Cornerstone Ceremony. U. DDS 59-4272. Memo for Record, 24 Jul 59, sub: New Bldg Cornerstone Ceremony. IUO. DDS 59-3395. Memo, for Col. White, 16 Oct 59, sub: Notes on Cornerstone Ceremony Meeting, 13 Oct 59. IUO. DDS 59-4325. Memo, L. K. White for Executive Officer DCI, 27 Oct 59, sub: Reserved Seats for Cornerstone Ceremony. IUO. DDS 59-4480. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above) Diary Notes, 1, 9 Nov 60. S. Public Papers of the President, Dwight D. Eisenhower, 1959, para 276, pp. 765-6. Remarks at the Cornerstone Laying Ceremony, for the Central Intelligence Agency Building, 3 Nov 59. U. IG Survey of O/L, Jun 61, p. 254. S. BPS/OL file. Memo for Record, ADDS, 27 Feb 61, sub: Plans for Moving to New Bldg. S. BPS/OL, Master Moving file. Diary Notes, 30 Mar 61. S.

20 Oct 70. s. 25X1

25X1

241. Memo, Acting DDP for DDS, 7 Sep 60, sub: Occupancy of New Building. S. DDP 0-4602.

IG Survey of O/L, Jun 61, p. 255. S. BPS/OL file.

242. Memo, McGeorge Bundy, Pres Adv f/Natl Sec Affairs, for DCI, 24 Jun 61, re locating CS all or in part to other location. S. HIC files.

- 243. Memo, DCI for McGeorge Bundy, Pres Adv Natl Sec Affairs, 21 Aug 61, sub: Locating CS Personnel etc. S. HIC files.
- 244. Diary Notes, 20 Oct 58. S.
- 245. Ibid., 9 Feb 58. S.
- 246. Ibid., 25, 28 Mar 60. S.
- 247. Ibid., 13 Jul 59. S.
- 248. Ibid., 31 Mar, 13, 19 Apr 60. S.
- 249. Ibid., 18 Dec 60. S.
- 250. Agenda, Preparation for Move, 17 Aug 61. S. BPS/OL, Master Plan file.
- 251. Memo, Curator HIC for SSHO, 10 Feb 71. (26, above)
- 252. Ibid.
- 253. Diary Notes, 15 Apr 57. S.
- 254. *Ibid.*, 29 Oct 57; 9, 21 Nov 60; 6, 30 Mar, 3, 6, 20, 27 Nov 61. S.
- 255. *Ibid.*, 23 Jun, 15, 17, 20 Jul, 1, 2, 10 Sep 59; 13 Sep 60; 4 Jan, 22 Mar, 15 Dec 61. S.
- 256. Ibid., 8 Jan 61. S.
- 257. Draft Outline, DDS Support Services Bulletin, 2 Oct 61. U. BPS/OL files.
- 258. Debriefing C/Telephone Facility Br. 20 Oct 70. U.
- 259. Memo, Project Officer for D/C BPS/OL, 13 Nov 61 15 May 62, sub: Hq Move. S. BPS/OL files.
- 260. Diary Notes, 7 Oct, 4 Nov 59; 22 Jan, 21 Mar, 8 Jun 60; 9 Jan, 15 Mar, 5 Apr, 9 Oct 61; 29 Nov 62. S.

- 261. Memo, DDS for D/OL, 12 Jun 62, sub: Hq Bldg Heating, Ventilating, and A/C Systems. S. File DDS Records Center, New Bldg, 1962.
- 262. Diary Notes, 29 Oct, 15 Nov 62. S.
- 263. Ibid., 26 Sep 61. S.
- 264. Ibid., 18, 21, 28, 29 Nov 61. S.
- 265. Project Officer Report, Feb 62, p. 2, U. BPS/OL files.
- 266. Diary Notes, 29 Nov 61. S.
- 267. Ibid., 30 Nov, 4, 15 Dec 61. S.
- 268. Project Officer Report, pp. 1-3. (265, above)
- 269. Memo, H&A for Messrs
 5 Jan 62. U. BPS/OL file 25.

Letter, Asst Commissioner, PBS to H&A, 24 Oct 63. U. BPS/OL file 25.

25X1A

- 270. ADS/LSD/OL Space Utilization Summary, 12 Apr 63. S. (HS/HC 849)
- 271. *Ibid.*, Space Utilization Summary: Headquarters Bldg, 2 Oct 72. S. (HS/HC 849)
- 272. Ibid.
- 273. Memo, DDS for DCI, 29 Nov 61, sub: Comparative Cost Figures of the AEC, New State, and CIA Bldgs. IUO. OL/RECD/BPS files.
- 274. Ibid.
- 275. Transmittal, LKW [L. K. White] to D/L, 17 Feb 62, sub: [Savings from New Bldg]. U. OL/RECD/BPS files.
- 276. Diary Notes, 5 Apr 60. S.
- 277. Ibid., 25 Nov, 1, 4 Dec 59; 27 Nov 61. S.

- 229 -

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 p QtAFRDP93-00939R000100020001-4

Appendix C Congressional References

| 1. Military and Naval Construction Act (P.L. 83-155, | |
|--|-------|
| September 28, 1951). For CIA building authori- | 25X10 |
| zation in the amount of \$38,000,000, see Title | • |
| | |
| There was no testimony by | |
| CIA witnesses on this bill. (This authoriza- | |
| tion was repealed by Sec. 511, P.L. 84-161.) | • |
| See also: (a) | |
| | 5X1C |
| | |
| | |
| | ٠. |
| * | |
| | 1 |
| | |
| | |
| (b) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

- (c) Report No. 1036, from the Senate 25X1C Appropriations Committee, October 18, 1951 (to accompany H.R. 5650, Second Supplemental Appropriation Bill, 1952).
- October 20, 1951, (Senate and House
 Appropriations Committees conferees),

 (To accompany H.R. 5650.)
- 2. Military Construction Act of 1955 (P.L. 84-161, July 15, 1955). For authorization to construct a CIA headquarters installation, see Title IV, Sec. 401, and Title V, Secs, 501, 502(4), 504.
 - See also: (a) Hearings, Military Public Works

 Construction, May-June 1955, before
 the Subcommittee on Real Estate
 and Military Construction of the

Senate Committee on Armed Services.

For CIA testimony, June 7, 1955,

see pp. 536-549. (Testimony before
the House Armed Services Committee
on this subject was in executive session and not printed.) (To accompany S. 1765 (H.R. 6829.)

- (b) Report No. 865, from the House
 Armed Services Committee, June 20,
 1955. Committee approval at pp.
 14, 17 in the amount of \$56,000,000.
 (To accompany H.R. 6829.)
- Armed Services Committee, June 30, 1955. Committee approval at pp. 2, 14-15, 16 in the amount of \$53,500,000. (To accompany H.R. 6829.)
- (d) Conference Report, House No. 1083,

 July 7, 1955, (Senate and House

 Armed Services Committeesconferees).

 Approval at pp. 28-29 in the amount

of \$55,000,000. (To accompany H. R. 6829.)

- 3. Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1956 (P.L. 84-219, August 4, 1955). For CIA building partial appropriation, see Chapter III.
 - See also: (a) Hearings, Military Construction

 Appropriations for 1956, June 1955,

 before the Subcommittee on Depart
 ment of Defense Appropriations of

 the House Committee on Appropria
 tions. For CIA testimony, June 23,

 1955, see pp. 165-181.
 - (b) Communication from the President of
 the United States transmitting a
 draft of a proposed provision
 pertaining to the Central Intelligence Agency ... House Document
 No. 210, July 1, 1955.
 - (c) Hearings, The Supplemental Appropriation Bill, 1956, June-July 1955, before the Senate Committee on Appropriations. For CIA testimony,

July 15, 1955, see pp. 24, 48-49, 239-275, 278-316, 363. (To accompany H.R. 7278.)

- (d) Report No. 1116, from the House

 Committee on Appropriations, July

 12, 1955. Approval at pp. 14, 34

 in the amount of \$3,000,000 for

 the preparation of detailed plans
 and specifications for the building.

 (To accompany H.R. 7278.)
- (e) Report No. 1094, from the Senate
 Committee on Appropriations, July
 23, 1955. Approval at pp. 11, 53,
 69 in the amount of \$7,000,000.

 (To accompany H.R. 7278.)
- (f) Conference Report. House No. 1586,

 July 29, 1955, (Senate and House

 Appropriations Committees conferees).

 Approval at pp. 2, 8 in the amount

 of \$5,500,000. (To accompany H.R.

 7278.)
- 4. Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1957 (P.L. 84-814,

July 27, 1956). For CIA building appropriation in the amount of \$49,000,000, see Chapter III, p. 3.

- See also: (a) Hearings, The Supplemental Appropriation Bill, 1957, Vol. 1, May-June
 1956, before the House Committee
 on Appropriations. For CIA testimony before the Subcommittee on
 Department of Defense Appropriations,
 see pp. 238-306.
 - tion Bill, 1957. May-July 1956, before the Senate Committee on Appropriations. For CIA testimony, July 11, 1956, see pp. 719-776. (To accompany H.R. 12138.)
 - (c) Report No. 2638, from the House

 Committee on Appropriations, July

 7, 1956. Approval of appropriation

 for the CIA building in the amount

 of \$49,000,000 at pp. 8, 36. (To

 accompany H.R. 12138.)

- (d) Report No. 2580, from the Senate
 Committee on Appropriations, July
 14, 1956. Approval of appropriation for the CIA building in the
 amount of \$49,000,000 at pp. 5,
 31. (To accompany H.R. 12138.)
- (e) Report, House No. 2864, (Senate and House Appropriations Committees conferees). (To accompany H.R. 12138.)

COPY

Appendix D

Staff Study

SUBJECT: New CIA building vs. Movement into Main Navy or Munitions Building

- PROBLEM: Is it desirable to pursue the assignment of the Navy or Munitions Building to CIA, or to construct a new building designed to meet Agency requirements.
- 2. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM:
 - a. CIA has permanent enabling legislation to build a new building at a cost of \$38 million.
 - b. Possible building sites:

25X1A

Present headquarters location, 2430 E Street N. W.

- it has been estimated that it would cost approximately \$42.5 million to build on this site. Construction would require two and one-half to three years.
- d. Present CIA headquarters location -- it has been estimated that an adequate building can be constructed in this area for less than \$38 million, including acquisition of land, which would cost approximately \$6 million. Time required for construction would be two and one-half to three years.
- e. Main Navy Building, 17th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Estimated cost of moving to Navy Building (including moves of other agencies involved) -- approximately \$11.1 million. Time required to complete move --

approximately two years. This would permit 100 percent consolidation, exclusive of warehouses, etc.

- f. Munitions Building, Navy Annex, 20th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Estimated cost of moving to Munitions Building (including moves of other agencies involved) -- approximately \$8.1 million. Time required to complete move -- one year. This building would accommodate approximately 70 percent of the Agency and the remaining 30 percent would stay in its present location.
- DISCUSSION: The estimated cost of construction is \$4.5 million in excess on the of the amount authorized in our enabling legislation. In addition, use of this site would probably meet with objection by the National Capital Park and Planning Commission and the Fine Arts Commission. However, we could probably build on our present location within the amount authorized and without encountering comparable obstacles. While we could undertake preliminary planning using funds now available to the Agency, it would be at least six months before an appropriation could be requested from Congress, which means that a new building would not be ready for occupancy for three to three and one-half years from now, and it is not certain that Congress would appropriate the necessary funds.

The Main Navy Building would permit 100 percent consolidation of the Agency, exclusive of warehouse, etc., and is preferable to the Munitions Building. The acquisition of either, however, would eliminate any justification for a new CIA building in the foreseeable future. The Navy and Munitions Buildings are both old, temporary buildings which, although a great improvement over what we now have, still are far from ideal for our purposes, and would be expensive to maintain.

- 4. CONCLUSIONS: In view of the time lag in our ability to request funds for a new building and the uncertainty of obtaining those funds, together with the substantial additional time required to construct a new building, it is concluded that it is desirable to make an immediate attempt to obtain as a first choice the Navy Building and as a second choice the Munitions Building.
- 5. ACTION RECOMMENDED: That action be taken to acquire the Navy Building for CIA as expeditiously as possible.

Appendix E

Buildings Occupied by CIA as of 26 January 1953

| Building | Location | Net Space (sq. ft.) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| North | 2430 E Street N.W. | 18,807 |
| Central | 2430 E Street N.W. | 20,977 |
| Administration | 2430 E Street N.W. | 9,095 |
| South | 2430 E Street N.W. | 33,880 |
| Rear of Temporary "Q" | 2430 E Street N.W. | 2,713 |
| Temporary "Q" | 24th-26th & D Streets N.W. | 52,818 |
| Temporary "M" | 24th-26th & D Streets N.W. | 68,560 |
| Building 11 | 24th-26th & D Streets N.W. | 14,441 |
| Building 13 | 24th-26th & D Streets N.W. | 4,688 |
| Building 14 | 24th-26th & D Streets N.W. | 7,720 |
| | | 6,589 |
| | | 8,863 |
| _ | | 23,932 |
| Building "I" | West Potomac Park | 112,430 |
| Building "J" | West Potomac Park | 92,300 |

25X1

25X1

- 240 -

25X1

25X1

25X1

| Building | Location | Net Space (sq. ft.) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Building "K" | West Potomac Park | 52,036 |
| Building "L" | West Potomac Park | 94,440 |
| | | 14,024 |
| | | 3,930 |
| Alcott Hall | West Potomac Park | 24,400 |
| Recreation & Service | West Potomac Park | 40,214 |
| Quarters Eye | West Potomac Park | 62,392 |
| · | | 9,105 |
| | | 6,769 |
| | | 19,381 |
| | | 7,314 |
| | | 20,045 |
| | | 36,550 |
| Riverside Stadium | 26th & D Streets N.W. | 39,860 |
| , | | 18,585 |
| | | 48,704 |
| | | 20,000 |
| | | 53,440 |
| | | 20,980 |
| | | 49,850 |
| | | Y. |

- 241 -

Approved For Release 2002/65F6CRTRDP93-00939R000100020001-4

| Building | Location | Net Space (sq. ft.) |
|------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Building 7 | 24th & D Streets N.W | 2,061 |
| Building 9 | 24th & D Streets N.W | 794 |
| | Total. | 1.122.687 |

Appendix F

Outline Plan for the Development of the Proposed CIA Headquarters Project

| Priority | Phase | |
|----------------------|-------|--|
| 1 | A. | Bureau of the Budget Approval |
| | | 1. Decision on Funding Method |
| GP: | В. | Site Approval |
| 2 | | 1. Approval of the Office of Defense Mobilization |
| 3 | • | 2. Approval of the Public Roads Com- |
| 3a ' | | 3. Exact Site Selection within the Available Area |
| 3b | : . · | 4. Final Approval of the Public Roads Commission and National Park and Planning Commission |
| 3 | c. | Construction and Improvement of Roads |
| | · , | George Washington Memorial Highway Leesburg Pike |
| | D. | Development of "Definitive" Plans |
| 3 3 3 a 3 b | | Collection of Space Requirements List of Special Requirements Decision on Type of Building Development of Sketches of Floor Plans |
| 4 | E. | Development of Preliminary Plans and Cost Estimate |

Approved For Release 2002/05/16 Pc R RDP93-00939R000100020001-4

- 1. Site Plan
- 2. Floor Plans
- 3. Elevations
- 4. Architect's Drawings
- 5. Cost Estimate
- 5 F. Congressional Approval
 - 1. Bureau of the Budget
 - 2. Armed Services Committees or Public Works Committees
 - 3. Appropriations Committees
- 6 G. Preparation of Construction Plans
 - 1. Fine Arts Commission Approval

COPY

Appendix G

Testimony of
Rear Admiral Neill Phillips, USN (Ret.)
3053 P Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Before
The Senate Appropriations Committee
Subcommittee of Department of Defense
Senator Chavez, Chairman
July 11, 1956

Opposition of [sic] Building a CIA Headquarters at Langley, Virginia

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Committee:

I am appearing as respresentative [sic] of the Progressive Citizens Association of Georgetown (approximately 900 members) and the Audubon Society of the District of Columbia (approximately 1200 members in the Greater Washington area). I have also been asked to speak for the Middle States Division of the American Canoe Association.

Your Committee has been asked to recommend an appropriation of \$49,000,000 to build a mammoth CIA Headquarters (approaching the Pentagon in size) at Langley, Virginia, on a site with no present access except a winding 2-lane State road, and with no sewage facilities,

whatever.

The cost of roads, bridges, and sewage disposal would be absolutely colossal. Parenthetically, it might be impossible ever to resolve the sewage problem at the Langley site no matter how much money were spent, as I shall try to show later.

Without these access and sanitary facilities, a CIA headquarters at Langley simply could not function. The price of such improvements should be considered just as much a part of the cost, as the price of the CIA buildings themselves. I submit that it is entirely unrealistic to talk about appropriating \$49,000,000 to build a CIA Langley headquarters, when in fact the \$49,000,000 is only a part of that cost.

I have studied the arguments and the pages of testimony on why or why not to build CIA at Langley, and I find that the fact fairly jumps out if [sic] even those line of fine print that the pro-Langley plan is very simple.

Get money from Congress to put up the buildings, and then somehow some Government department or agency will just simply have to go to work and figure out how to solve access and sanitation problems, and how to get

appropriations from Congress to pay for them. True there have been tentative plans put forth as to widening roads and bridges and laying sewer mains, with rough estimates of the cost. But even a superficial reading shows them to be vague and generalized, with so many essential details not covered, as to be unacceptable in a business sense, particularly when such enormous sums are involved. I submit, Mr. Chairman, that it is wrong even to consider CIA's request for \$49,000,000 to build at Langley until, and unless CIA also submits a request for appropriations to cover this other utterly essential part of the job.

Now the above reasoning would hold good even if Langley were an ideal, or even a fairly practicable, site for CIA. But by all fair standards it is a tragically bad site. Believe me, my associates and I have tried to be objective and have tried hard to find some cogent reasons for CIA at Langley, but again after going over and over the arguments and testimony we can find only two real reasons advanced for it:

(1) It would be pleasant for CIA employees to work in park-like surroundings with a river view.

(2) It would be good for real estate people who could put up housing developments and shopping centers nearby.

On the other hand, the arguments against CIA at Langley seem overwhelming. I shall list them briefly before I close:

(1) <u>Sewage</u>. The Potomac is hideously polluted. Federal and State Governments are beginning the Augean task of trying to clean it up. Yet here is a proposal to put a junior Pentagon, with all those thousands of people, on a bluff of the Potomac about one-half mile <u>above</u> the new intake for the District water supply!!

True, the local Virginia authorities have committed to provide sewage facilities to the Langley CIA buildings, but so far as I can ascertain they have shown no plans as to what they are to do with the effluvient except to dump it into the Potomac. And apparently there are no plans or ideas at all as to what to do about sewage from all the new communities that would spring up in the CIA area — a problem that can reasonably be expected to grow as big as that of the CIA headquarters itself. And again,

remember, all this in the immediate vicinity of the intake of the District water supply. I submit, Mr. Chairman, that this is little short of a medieval approach to a grave health problem and is unacceptable by any modern standard.

- (2) <u>Bridges</u>. Every day the press carries articles on where and how to build more bridges, or whether to pay the enormous sums required to bore a tunnel, in order to get the population back and forth across the Potomac, or to route traffic around and outside the District. All the proposed plans are drawn up with the idea of just keeping traffic moving for the population as it is at present distributed. We all know that that alone is a problem of staggering magnitude. Yet here we have a proposal to compound confusion by putting up a vast CIA complex at a spot in every way situated so as to generate more, much more, cross-river traffic.
- (3) <u>District Traffic</u>. A CIA spokesman before the House Appropriations Committee in June estimated that "a large part of the 37% (of CIA traffic to Langley) would find the Key Bridge probably more convenient than Chain Bridge or Memorial Bridge." If you go to either

end of Chain Bridge [sic], Virginia or Georgetown, at the rush hour this afternoon and see the pandemonium that exists, and then try to picture what it would be like with "a large part of the 37%" of CIA traffic trying to get back and forth, I believe you will be appalled. No plans that I know of have been made to take care of this increased traffic in the narrow environs of the Georgetown end of Chain Bridge [sic], and no such plans could be carried out without destroying much of Georgetown's present character and present property values.

A similar, or perhaps, worse, situation would exist at Chain Bridge, which it is proposed to widen. Approaches are narrow and there are numerous dead ends. True, the National Capital Regional Planning Commission proposes improvements to Canal Road and Weaver Place to serve Chain Bridge, at an estimated cost of \$900,000. I believe this estimate far too low for the size of the job. It is also obvious that it does not take into account (as there is no account taken in the case of Key Bridge) of the change in traffic patterns all over that part of the District of Columbia within two or three

miles of Key and Chain Bridge that would result from the traffic flow engendered by a huge CIA complex at Langley.

- (4) Impact on the Comprehensive Plan. This comprehensive plan was set up by the National and the Regional Capital Planning Commissions to provide for orderly development of the Nation's Capital and its environs. Putting CIA at Langley cuts directly across this Plan and flouts its basic concepts, as is plainly shown on page 2 of the National Capital Planning Commission's report of March 2, 1956, to which I fully invite your attention.
- (5) Potential Park Land. The proposed site of CIA at Langley is on a tract of land now under the Bureau of Public Roads, which has indicated it does not need it. If there were no CIA at Langley this tract would almost certainly become park-land (for which it is ideally suited) in conjunction with the George Washington Memorial Highway; since it could be occupied as such under the Capper-Crampton Act. The tract is unique in being so near a big city yet possessing so many natural blessings. There are great stands of hardwoods, groves

of flowering trees and bushes, abundant wild flowers and ferns many of them rare species, beautiful river views, and teeming bird and animal life. In conjunction with the George Washington Memorial Highway it could be made into a really fabulous park accessible to all the people. Yet it is proposed to shut it off for one Government agency and forever destroy much of its unspoiled character with huge building projects. This tragic deed would run counter to the aims of every conservation organization in the country and would be an official negation by the U. S. Government of every tenet of modern sociological thinking which stresses the need of increasing open spaces for increasing population.

any more of your time other than to invite attention to the several other proposed locations for CIA, in the Northwest Section, in the new Southwest Redevelopment Area, in the vicinity of Alexandria, and in the vicinity of the National Training School for Boys; sites where most, if not all, of the deeply objectionable features of the Langley site do not exist. This matter of alternative sites has been covered in cogent detail by the recommendations submitted to CIA by the Federal City

Approved For Release 2002/06/10/CPCPA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4

Council.

Mr. Chairman, I can sum up my regrettably long testimony in a few words:

This plan of CIA at Langley is indigestible and uncooked. Yet it has the most serious potential impact on the entire District of Columbia area. As hardheaded, but I believe public-spirited, citizens and taxpayers, we urgently request that you recommend that appropriations be held up until the present fuzzy picture is brought into a reasonable focus justifying the outpouring of billions of dollars for CIA at Langley.

Respectfully,

Neill Phillips

Appendix H

COPY

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Washington 25, D. C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

July 26, 1955

The Honorable George H. Mahon Chairman, Defense Subcommittee House Committee on Appropriations Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Under the provisions of the Military Construction Act of 1955 (P.L. 161), CIA is authorized to construct a headquarters installation at a cost of \$54,500,000. Of this sum not to exceed \$8,500,000 may be utilized for transfer to the National Capital Planning Commission and the Department of the Interior for the cost of extending the George Washington Memorial Parkway to the site of the Research Station of the Bureau of Public Roads at Langley, Fairfax County, Virginia, including acquisition of rights of way. The law provides that if CIA does not locate at the site at Langley, the \$8,500,000 shall not be available for obligation. The law also Provides that not to exceed \$1,000,000 may be spent for the acquisition of a site.

On 23 June, when I appeared before your Subcommittee in support of appropriations for this construction, the Military Construction Act had not yet passed the Congress. Until passed, the extension of the Parkway would not be assured and since this is essential to location at Langley, final determination on the site could not be made. The House Appropriations Committee therefore recommended the sum of \$3,000,000 for CIA to proceed with the preparation of detail plans and specifications, but postponed consideration of funds for a site. Subsequently, the sum recommended by the Appropriations Committee was stricken from the bill on the Floor of the House on a point of order.

When I later appeared before the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee in the Senate, P.L. 161 authorizing extension of the George Washington Memorial Parkway to the Langley site had been enacted. I informed that Subcommittee that CIA strongly preferred locating its headquarters installation on the Government-owned tract at Langley, although, should the Committee deny funds for the Parkway extension, a tract at Alexandria would be acceptable. I pointed out to the Senate Sub-

committee that failure to determine the site had been a major factor in the allowance by the House Appropriations Committee of funds for plans and specifications only. I further noted that such plans could not be properly prepared until a site was selected, and that to plan for Langley, we would require an additional \$4,000,000 for the first year's work on the Parkway extension to assure that the Parkway would be completed when our installation was ready for occupancy. more, I pointed out that it was my understanding that the House Committee preferred not to use unobligated balances for this construction project, and recommended that the Committee give us a direct appropriation of \$7,000,000. We have obtained the approval of the Bureau of the Budget for the use of direct appropriations, and a copy of the authorization from Mr. Hughes, Director of the Bureau of the Budget, dated 21 July 1955, is att tached for your information.

The Senate Appropriations Committee has now approved the sum of \$3,000,000 for the preparation of detail plans and specifications and \$4,000,000 for the extension of the Parkway, with a proviso that, if CIA

does not locate at Langley, a sum of not to exceed \$350,000 shall be available for the acquisition of a site elsewhere.

It is my earnest hope that the House Appropriations Committee will accept the action of the Senate Appropriations Committee with one change. The best offer we now have for sufficient land in Alexandria is about \$500,000; hence \$350,000 appears inadequate and should be increased to \$500,000. This does not increase the total of \$7,000,000 requested since if site money is expended, the \$4,000,000 for the Parkway will not be required. While we consider the Langley site is the best available, we believe provision for an alternative is advisable to insure that the final choice is the best for our purposes, and that the construction of our permanent headquarters is completed at the earliest possible time.

I am attaching herewith a more detailed statement of our position in this matter so that you may be fully aware of the reasons for our preference for Langley and the steps that have been taken in connection with that site.

Respectfully yours, (Signed)

Allen W. Dulles
Director

Attachment

COPY

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT BUREAU OF THE BUDGET Washington 25, D. C.

July 21, 1955

My dear Mr. Dulles:

This is to inform you that the Bureau of the Budget has no objection to the Central Intelligence Agency requesting the Senate to grant a direct appropriation for the fiscal year 1956 for the initial cost of the development of a CIA headquarters installation and the extension of the George Washington Memorial Parkway as authorized by Title IV of the Act of July 15, 1955 (P.L. 161), provided that such request shall not exceed \$7 million.

Sincerely yours,
(Signed) Rowland Hughes

Director

Honorable Allen W. Dulles Director, Central Intelligence Agency Washington 25, D. C. STATEMENT OF MR. ALLEN W. DULLES, DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE, IN CONNECTION WITH THE REQUEST FOR AN APPROPRIATION TO CONSTRUCT A HEADQUARTERS INSTALLATION FOR THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

After careful examination of more than thirty sites in or near the metropolitan area of the District of Columbia, the Central Intelligence Agency believes that Langley, Virginia, and Alexandria, Virginia, are the two areas which deserve most serious consideration.

CIA would strongly prefer to locate its new headquarters installation, authorized by P.L. 161, at the
site of the Research Station of the Bureau of Public
Roads at Langley, Virginia, within this 300-acre tract
of Government-owned land. CIA would need 100 acres of
this tract for its building site and access roads, for
adequate parking space for its employees, and for
security reasons.

A primary reason for CIA's preference for the Langley site is that of security. If CIA occupies this site, it will be surrounded on two sides by the remaining 200 acres of the tract, and on the third side by land owned by the National Park Service which

extends to the Potomac River, Thus, we would be fully protected on three sides of the site. On the fourth side, the land is privately-owned, but lightly settled with one-family houses which would cause no problem. Thus, we are assured of maximum security for the installation. In addition, should it be necessary for the Central Intelligence Agency to expand because of war or national emergency, additional Government land is adjacent to the site at Langley for this purpose. On the other hand, if we are to locate in the Alexandria area and can obtain 100 acres of land, there is every reason to believe that the site would be immediately surrounded with apartments and small houses, as well as possible commercial developments. This would present greater security problems than are present at the Langley site and is a primary factor in our preference for Langley.

The Langley site compares favorably with other possible sites in terms of accessibility to the other Government agencies with which we must be in continual daily contact. From the standpoint of the morale of our personnel, the Langley site would be preferable as

it would involve at most a very small relocation of the homes of our personnel.

It should be noted in connection with an Alexandria site, that on the basis of the present residences of CIA employees almost two-thirds of their number would have to travel through the District of Columbia at the height of the morning and evening rush hour, with a large concentration at the Memorial Bridge and the proposed new Constitution Avenue bridge, and moving in the same direction as the bulk of traffic as far as the Pentagon. On the other hand, if Langley is selected, this situation would be eased somewhat in view of the fact that 48% of CIA personnel live in the Northwest area of Washington and Montgomery County. They would use Key and Chain Bridges, and eventually the proposed new Cabin John Bridge, to the Langley site and would be travelling to and from work in the opposite direction from the flow of traffic.

CIA has consulted with the elected officials of Fairfax County with regard to the Langley property since November 1954. The Board of County Supervisors of Fairfax County have, by formal resolution, extended

an invitation to CIA to occupy this site. The Planning Commission of Fairfax County has formally expressed to the County Board of Supervisors the desirability of the CIA location at Langley, and their Chairman has stated that in this expression the Commission feels that they have correctly expressed the overwhelming majority sentiment of Fairfax County residents. Similar invitations have been expressed by the Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce representing more than 450 members without dissent, the McLean Business Association, and the Great Falls Grange No. 738 with a membership of 242.

Several citizens and Fairfax County officials testified before the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee of the Senate in favor of CIA's use of the Langley site and also presented a map showing that over 80% of the property owners in the immediate vicinity of the site favored its use by CIA. It should be noted that a small minority of local residents of the Langley area have protested against the location of CIA at the Langley site, and representatives of this group, as well as officials from Alexandria also testified before the Subcommittee.

The Central Intelligence Agency naturally under-

stands the feelings of some of the residents of the Langley area who, rightly or wrongly, feel that the comparative seclusion of their community may be somewhat impaired by the Agency's location there. However, there seems to be no question but that, with the exception of this very small minority, Fairfax County wholeheartedly welcomes the CIA. The Agency feels that it is its primary duty, while taking into account the feelings of the residents, to attempt to select that site which will best facilitate the carrying out of its work in the public interest; and in view of the invitations and backing it has received from the people and officials of the area, CIA feels justified in expressing its preference for the Langley site.

In order for CIA to locate at Langley, it is necessary to improve the site's accessibility by the extension of the George Washington Memorial Parkway from its present terminus at Spout Run approximately 3-1/2 miles below Chain Bridge to the Langley site, a distance of approximately 7 miles. The authorization for this Parkway has been on the statute books for 25 years. The Capper-Crampton Act of May 29, 1930 (46 Stat.

482), as amended, states:

"Such funds shall be appropriated as required for the expeditious, economical, and efficient development and completion of the following projects:

"(a) For the George Washington Memorial Parkway, to include the shores of the Potomac, and adjacent lands, from Mount Vernon to a point above the Great Falls on the Virginia side, except within the City of Alexandria,"

Planning Commission has given a formal opinion that the proposed extension is within the contemplation of this Act. It should also be noted that the National Capital Planning Commission has been acquiring rights of way for the Parkway extension from its present terminus at Spout Run to a point slightly above Chain Bridge irrespective of whether or not CIA might at some time locate at Langley. Funds have been included in the NCPC budget for this purpose. These funds may not, however, be sufficient to acquire all the rights of way to the Langley site, and for this reason the Congress, in the Military Construction Act of 1955, authorized CIA to transfer certain funds to the Commission for this purpose. Thus, it seems evident that

the extension of this Parkway, which is a part of the master plan for the development of the metropolitan area, will be completed within the next few years in any event and CIA is merely speeding up this 7-mile extension to have it completed at the time of its occupancy of the Langley site.

The Office of the Commissioner of Highways (Virginia), in a letter dated 23 May 1955 to the County Executive of Fairfax County, stated that in view of the probability of a CIA installation near Langley they had allocated \$100,000 for the present fiscal year for the widening of Route 123 from Chain Bridge west, with a reasonable expectation for additional funds in the next fiscal year. On 9 June, the Highway Commissioner of Virginia wrote that the cost of widening Route 123 to a 4-lane highway from its intersection with the George Washington Memorial Parkway below the Langley site to its intersection with Route 193 above the site would be provided at their expense, and that this would be done concurrently with the development of the Parkway ex-On the same day, this matter was discussed tension. with Governor Stanley of Virginia, and the meeting resulted in complete assurances of this concurrent improvement. While this may be an acceleration in the plans of the State of Virginia for the development of Highway 123, such plans were already in existence for future implementation and extend beyond the present commitments.

In its resolution of May 4, 1955, inviting the CIA to use the Langley site, the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County assured the Agency "that the Fairfax County Government stands ready to cooperate fully in all matters under its own responsibilities." In its resolution of 18 May the Board authorized the forwarding of a letter to CIA stating "that the county can assure within two years from this date the availability of sewers for the facility contemplated on a basis of charges on rentals for such sewer service at figures which will not exceed the regular charges elsewhere in the county." We have further been informed that no part of the \$250,000-\$300,000 which this construction will cost will be borne by the Federal Government. Moreover, the cost of this additional link will not be a cost to the taxpayers. It is simply a financing program to provide a service and would be self-supporting by virtue of its revenue.

It should be noted that Fairfax County voted a \$20,000,000 integrated sewer system in 1953, and a \$2,000,000 unit of this system is presently being installed in the McLean-Langley area. Therefore, bringing of this link to the boundary of the CIA property is but a small part of the overall system in that area.

The City of Falls Church has assured CIA of its ability to supply water for the installation, and it does not require financial help from the Federal Government to make this possible. The City has funds available in the sum of approximately \$5,000 to extend the existing water main some 1,500 feet to serve the Langley site.

Somewhat similar assurances as to roads, sewers, and water supply have been received from Alexandria officials, who have invited CIA to locate within their city.


The cost of the building construction itself will not vary appreciably as between the Langley site or an Alexandria site. Insofar as the expenditure of Federal

funds is concerned, a site in Alexandria could presumably be acquired for something in the neighborhood of \$500,000, whereas the Langley site is on Government-owned land. If the Langley site is utilized, an expenditure of 8.5 million dollars for the extension of the George Washington Memorial Parkway will be involved. However, as noted above, this Parkway has long been authorized, and its completion is a part of the master plan for the development of the District of Columbia and its environs. The acceleration of the Parkway's extension will not change the eventual expenditure, and it will permit this Agency to occupy a preferred site with sufficient Government-owned acreage surrounding it to give better security protection than is presented by the alternative.

11:

Appendix I

CIA Organizational Arrangement for the Development of "Definitive" Plans for a Permanent Headquarters Building 4 October 1955



COPY

Appendix J

Feb 4, 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director, Support

SUBJECT : Planning for the Proposed New Head-

quarters Building

REFERENCE : Paper dated 28 January 1957 on this

subject

1. This is in regard to the paper which you gave to the Director and which was discussed at the Deputies Meeting on 28 January. It is regrettable that this paper was not considered by the Building Steering Committee prior to presentation to the Director, inasmuch as it is my understanding that the Steering Committee was established to obtain views on such major problems. Further, it would have been more useful had the Steering Committee been able to debate this matter before the Director was approached for a decision. Inasmuch as at some future date there may be inquiry into how certain decisions affecting the building were reached, I wish

to go on record concerning certain matters in this paper.

First, the intent of Congress is well expressed in the conference report on the appropriations bill. do not believe that it could be made more abundantly clear that the CIA building is intended to accommodate "all of its headquarters personnel." Further, I would construe the balance of this statement as precluding any return to Congress after construction has started to secure money for another wing or an additional building. In addition, one of our major selling points in securing the authorization and appropriation for the building was that it would save \$3,200,000 per annum. We already plan to reduce these savings by 50 per cent by leaving out certain elements. With the increase in cost of the building, and the necessity for leaving out even more elements if we stay at our present size or grow, it is obvious that these savings will be reduced further, even totally disappear. This, to my mind, makes it even more essential that we approach both the President and the Congress and explain to them exactly what must be done to house all of our headquarters perSonnel. Reasonable individuals are not going to blame CIA for increases in building costs. Furthermore, I believe this agency can be severely criticized for compromising with present day circumstances to erect a building that will be unsatisfactory in the future. Not to face this issue today simply exposes the agency to severe attack when the realization dawns on the Congress and Washington that we built a building that couldn't house our headquarters personnel.

3. It is perfectly true as stated in this memorandum that to reraise this subject might expose us to the dangers of not getting the building at all at this time. However, assuming that this building is our permanent headquarters that will exist for decades, I do not feel that we should neglect any step that we can take in order to make the facts known to the White House and the Congress before we commence construction. In fact, I would go further and say that I consider it mandatory that whether we ask for more money or not, we advise the White House, the Bureau of the Budget and the Congress as to exactly how many persons in Washington will not be accommodated in the new headquarters building.

- 4. Finally, I consider the quoted missives on the subject of keeping down capital expenditures not to be applicable in this regard. First, this building is to be a part of the permanent Washington landscape.

 Second, the savings inherent in its construction mean its amortization in 20 years. Third, the administration's desire to cut down on capital expenditures is related specifically to the present day economic situation, and by the time our contracts commence to affect either the money or materials market in 1958, the present economic situation may be drastically changed.
- 5. I therefore <u>most</u> strongly recommend that whether our approaches to the White House, Bureau of the Budget and the Congress are in the nature of requesting \$14,000,000 additionally or of advising them that we will have to leave _______ out of the building, we must so advise and face the possibility of being ordered to reduce headquarters by that number, or delaying construction.

25X9

/S/ Lyman Kirkpatrick

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick Inspector General

Distribution:

2 - Addressee

1 - I.G. Subject File

1 - I.G. Chrono

Appendix K

Sites and Site Acquisition Data 1957-69

A. Property: Basic CIA Tract

Area: 131.563 Acres

Acquisition Data: Transferred to Agency on 15 March 1957; Accepted 5 April 1957

From: Bureau of Public Roads

Costs: (1) Land - Transferred without reimbursement

(2) Buildings* - See below

*Buildings: The Total cost of -- \$43,670,000.00

(a) Headquarters buildings

(b) Clearing and grading land

(c) All roadways and parking areas (only first portion of west lot)

(d) All utilities such as water and power on property'

(e) Complete powerhouse, cafeteria, and auditorium

(f) Security and property line fences

B. Property: Viner Tract

Area: 13.8227 Acres

Acquisition Data: Property condemned and transferred

to the Agency on 12 June 1963

From: Viner

Costs: (1) Land - \$172,000.00

C. Property: Rivercomb Tract

Area: 12.8279 Acres

Acquisition Data: Property condemned and trans-

ferred to the Agency on 12 June 1963

Costs: (1) Land - \$130,000.00

D. Property: Travers Tract

Area: 11.2 Acres

Acquisition Data: GSA purchased land from Travers

on 11 August 1966

Cost: (1) Land - \$145,463.00

- E. Property: Scattergood-Throne Tract
 Area: 32 Acres
 Acquisition Data: BPR purchased on 27 July 1947
- F. Property: West Parking
 Area: 19.7 Acres
 Acquisition Data: 30-day revocable use permit
 from BPR
- G. Property: EAA Ballfields
 Area: 29.5 Acres
 Acquisition Data: 30-day revocable use permit
 from BPR

Appendix L

Professional Achievement Awards

1960 Engineers, Scientists, and Architects Day
25 February 1960

To the Outstanding Young Architect

| | Architect-Engineer, | Central | In- |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------|-----|
| telligence Agency | | | |

Cited for his outstanding contribution, at the age of 30, to the new headquarters building of the Central Intelligence Agency. He devised a scheme which has permitted the maximum number of necessary changes in plans during construction with a minimum of disruptions. These changes are the result of changes in organization, working relationships, and procedures during the construction time. His scheme will also greatly facilitate the move into the new building.

has a degree of Bachelor of Architecture from Massachusetts Institute of Technology and is a Registered Architect in the District of Columbia.

Description of Achievement

- l. One of the major problems in connection with the planning for a new headquarters building is created by the time lag between the date final working drawings are completed, and the date the building is ready for occupancy. During this period many changes occur in organization, personnel strength, operating procedures, and in the equipment and facilities required by the Agency for efficient operation. Such changes affect partition layouts, door locations, air conditioning, light, power, and other facilities.
- 2. In order that the maximum number of necessary changes might be effected prior to completion of construction, devised a means of templating Agency space layouts of the new building to serve for working out building revisions resulting from changes in organization, working relationships, and procedures. His scheme also provides a means for determining location of telephone and power outlets, and when the building is complete will serve for planning and executing the move into the new building.
- scheme was accomplished by using acetate sheets approximately two feet square on each of which the outline of a section of the building was drawn at one-eighth scale. Fifteen such sheets provided the layout for an entire floor. A method of mounting these sheets on the wall of the plan room was devised so that each floor of the building could be viewed in its entirety. The building walls and fixed installations such as stairwells, toilets, pneumatic tube stations, columns, and main corridors were inked on the acetate sheets. Partitions within the building were shown in place by the use of three sizes of black tape, each size indicating a different type of partition. [then devised an economical means of manufacturing furniture templates, drawn to scale, with an adhesive backing permitting them to be removed and reused.

25X1A

In actual use the acetate sheets are first completed so that the space layout is identical to that shown on the contract drawings. From information as to Agency requirements each space layout is templated to show the furniture and equipment the operating element requires in the new building. The acetate sheets are then reproduced by the ozalid process and copies furnished the prospective occupants. These layouts are reviewed and any required changes discussed with or other members of the Building Planning When changed conditions warrant revision of the space layout, such revisions are studied and analyzed by using the acetate sheets with the removable partitions (tape) and furniture templates. After the templated layouts have been completely reviewed and approved, additional prints are made and forwarded to Public Buildings Service and to the Contract Architect for use in the issuance of such contract change orders as may be necessary. Prints of the approved templated layouts are also being used to plan the telephone installation and electric outlets needed for each office. When the move to the new building is started these templated layouts will provide detailed information as to the placement of each piece of furniture and office equipment.

Appendix M

History of the CIA Cornerstone Box

Following the symbolic placing of the Cornerstone Box at the laying of the CIA Cornerstone by the President of the United States, Dwight D. Eisenhower, on 3 November 1959, the box was removed from its niche and taken into custody until such time as the Cornerstone was permanently emplaced. At that time, the Cornerstone Box contained only that material which was listed in the program of the Ceremony, minus the microfilm of the newspaper of 3 November, the tape recording of the Cornerstone Ceremony, and the photographs of that occasion.

Despite the Director's joking remark to the President (recorded on the tape) that the contents of the Box were "secret", there were no classified documents in the Cornerstone Box. Prior to the ceremony, careful consideration had been given to the documents which were to be included in the Box. After consultation with the Deputy Directors and the Inspector General, Mr. Walter L. Pforzheimer, the Curator of the CIA Historical Intelligence Collection, had drawn up a list of proposed materials for inclusion in the Box, subject to the approval of Mr. Dulles. Included on the list were a considerable number of classified documents, largely in the field of National Estimates. It was finally determined not to include any classified material in the Cornerstone Box at the time of the Ceremony, and that the whole subject of classified contents should be held in abeyance until it could be determined what degree of control the Security Office would have over the new building when the box was finally put into the Cornerstone. Late in September 1960, the contractors advised that they were virtually at the point when they would desire to lay the Cornerstone permanently. At that time, the contents of the Cornerstone Box were reconsidered, and the DCI made a final determination that it should contain no classified material. At the request of the Office of the DDP, there was added to the contents of the Box those medals which are awarded for distinguished service in the field of intelligence, namely the National Security Medal, the Distinguished Intelligence Cross, the Distinguished Intelligence Medal, the Intelligence Star, and the Intelligence Medal of Merit; together with a description of these medals and the certificates which accompany them when awarded; and also a copy of the Certificate of Merit with Distinction and the Certificate of Merit. The complete list of the contents of the Cornerstone Box is appended as Tab A.

At this time, reconsideration was also given to the proper preservation of the contents of the Cornerstone Box and its ultimate sealing. There had been a general feeling that the copper box, furnished by the contractor, was not of the desired standard. It was rather too small for the contents, and its copper was not sufficiently thick to withstand the necessary welding of the top without seriously charring the papers enclosed. On the other hand, it had to be of the correct size to fit into the niche provided for it behind the Cornerstone slab. these reasons, Mr. H. Gates Lloyd, Assistant Deputy Director/Support, made arrangements, through the CIA Technical Services Division, with the National Bureau of Standards to prepare a new copper box, whose sides are about an eighth of an inch thick. The box has been constructed from thirty pounds of copper. magnetic tape recording of the Cornerstone Ceremony and the formal opening of the contractor's bids on the building has been placed in a steel box with a quarter inch steel plate on top and bottom, and a 1/8 inch steel plate on the sides. A description of the Cornerstone Box and its preparation, written by the Bureau of Standards, is attached herewith as Tab B.

On 20 October 1960, Mr. Lloyd and Mr. Pforzheimer, accompanied by two members of the Technical Services

Division Staff,

went to the National Bureau of Standards and placed the material in the Cornerstone Box. The Box cover. was then welded intoplace by Bureau of Standards

25X1A

employees. This process was under the supervision of George A. Ellinger, Chief of the Corrosion Section, Matallurgy Division, National Bureau of Standards, and Melvin Romanoff of his staff. Pictures of the ceremony were taken. The copper box was then buffed by Mr. Zieda, also a Bureau employee. Inscribed on the top of the Box is an instruction which reads as follows:

"Top
To Open:
Cut Carefully Near Top
Do Not Use Torch"

On 2 November 1960, Colonel L. K. White, Deputy Director/Support, accompanied by Mr. Lloyd and Mr. Pforzheimer, inserted the Cornerstone Box into its niche, and the Cornerstone was then lowered into place. Many of the participants, which also included Mr. James A. Garrison, Director of Logistics, Chief of the Building Planning Staff, and other members of their staff, as well as representatives of the architects and contractors, threw lucky pennies into the niche with the Box. Photographs were taken.

25X1A

Walter L. Pforzheimer Curator/Historical Intelligence Collection 25X1A

Att:

Tab A

Contents of Cornerstone Box

Copy of Memorandum for President Franklin D.
Roosevelt from Major General William J. Donovan,
Director of the Office of Strategic Services, dated
18 November 1944, regarding the establishment of a
permanent centralized intelligence service and copy
of Memorandum from President Roosevelt to General
Donovan, dated 5 April 1945, directing that General
Donovan discuss his plan with the appropriate officials
of the Government.

Copy of President Harry S. Truman's Executive Letter of 22 January 1946, establishing the National Intelligence Authority and the Central Intelligence Group.

Statement of General (then Lieutenant General) Hoyt S. Vandenberg Director of Central Intelligence, before the Senate Committee on Armed Services, on 29 April 1947, in support of the sections of the proposed National Security Act of 1947 to establish the Central Intelligence Agency.

A Text and Explanation of Statutes and Executive Orders relating specifically to the Central Intelligence Agency, including Enabling and Appropriations Acts for the construction of the new CIA Building.

Reproduction of the CIA seal and its official description.

"William J. Donovan and the National Security." A speech by Allen W. Dulles, Director of Central Intelligence, to the Erie County Bar Association, Buffalo, New York, 4 May 1959.

Aerial photographs of the area of the CIA Building site at the time of the Cornerstone Ceremony.

Copy of the architect's drawing of the CIA Building as it will appear when completed.

Invitation to the ceremony, copy of the Program, tape recording of the proceedings, selected photographs of the ceremony, and copy of pamphlet entitled "The Cornerstone Ceremony," containing the speeches made on the occasion.

A microfilm of Washington and northern Virginia newspapers for 3 November 1959 (the date of the ceremony), and selected articles from subsequent issues (4-6 November) concerning the ceremony.

The National Security Medal and the four CIA Medals, the Distinguished Intelligence Cross, the Distinguished Intelligence Medal, the Intelligence Star, and the Intelligence Medal of Merit; together with a description of these medals and the certificates which accompany them when awarded; and also a copy of the Certificate of Merit with Distinction and the Certificate of Merit.

Tab B

Method of Construction of Metal Boxes Used to Contain These Articles

The steel box which contains the magnetic tape was constructed from AISI number 1020 steel. The plates for the sides, top, and bottom of the box and cover were cut to size and all edges ground. The seams in the box and cover were joined by the electric arc weld method.

The outer container was fabricated from copper plates which were joined by Heliarc welds (inert gas tungsten arc method).

The container was lined with asbestos paper (dried at 105°C for 48 hours), the contents inserted in the box and the lid was welded in place. During the operation of sealing the lid, the container was immersed in water up to one inch from the top in order to reduce the temperature inside the box.

Prior to sealing the copper box, the same operations were performed on a dummy box which contained similar articles. Before opening the box to examine

the contents, it was totally immersed in water to check for leakage.

The box was made and sealed at the National Bureau of Standards.

Appendix N

Invitation to Cornerstone-Laying Ceremony

The Director of Central Intelligence
requests the honor of your presence
at the ceremonies in which
The President of the United States
will lay the Cornerstone of the
Central Intelligence Agency Building
at eleven thirty o'clock on the morning of
Tuesday, November third, nineteen hundred fifty-nine
Langley, Virginia

Card of admission enclosed

Ph. S.V.P. 2430 & Street NW., Washington, D.C. Executive 3–6115, Extension 4096

Appendix O

Description of the Headquarters Building

February 1962

- 1. The Site. The headquarters building is on a tract of land containing approximately 140 acres. It is part of a Government-owned tract formerly known as the Leiter Estate. The building site is irregularly shaped, vaguely reminiscent of the outline of the State of Texas, complete with a panhandle which provides access from Virginia State Route 123. The tract fronts on the George Washington Memorial Parkway which runs along the Potomac River from below Washington to interstate route 495. One of the access roads to the site is this four-lane divided highway in the Parkway which extends to the Cabin John Bridge on the Washington Circumferential Highway, Route 495. Access to the southern entrance of the building site is from State Route 123.
- 2. Site Layout. The building is placed approximately in the center of the main part of the site and faces generally east. The building, with the Cafeteria Auditorium and Power Plant, covers

| approximately 9 acres of the site. Two large parking lots are provided with a total capacity of | 25X1A |
|---|-------|
| cars. The parking lots require about 21 acres. Roads | , |
| on the site serving the building entrances, parking | |
| lots, power plant and other facilities total more | |
| than 2 miles. In several large areas of the site | |
| the natural growth has been left undisturbed except | • |
| for clearing out brush and dead trees. The entire | |
| perimeter of the main part of the site is bounded by | 25X1A |
| trees and very little of the building is visible from | |
| the public highways. | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · . |

25X1A

Architectural features include a five-foot setback at the second floor and a ten-foot setback at the seventh floor. Continuous glass windows form the exterior walls of those two floors. The Ground Floor exterior has windows two feet by three feet set rather high above the floor level every five feet except at the entrances and several parts of the rear of the building. The remainder of the building (floors 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6) have windows approximately 3 feet wide by about 7 feet high, spaced five feet apart center to center. The windows on these five floors are set in precast concrete with a quartz aggregate finish. The quartz aggregate, while predominantly white, contains some color and serves to relieve what might otherwise be a rather drab concrete finish.

25X1A

housing

elevator machinery, fan rooms for air conditioning and other mechanical and electrical equipment.

The entire building is air conditioned. In most areas, floors are covered with asphalt tile. Interior masonry walls are generally plastered and painted. The bulk of the partitioning is of the steel movable type, either full or part height. Part height partitioning is 68" high. Heights from the floor to the ceiling vary, depending upon the use to be made of the space. Ceilings are of acoustical tile suspended from the upper floor slab. The space between the ceiling and the slab above is used for air conditioning ducts, conduit, tube runs and other mechanical and electrical equipment. Lighting is by fluorescent fixtures generally flush mounted five feet apart. Telephone and electrical outlets are from floor cells so spaced as to provide ample coverage.

4. <u>Building Facilities</u>. The Auditorium is a reinforced concrete dome-shaped structure. The dome shape itself is an acoustical feature and acoustical

quality of the Auditorium is further improved by the interior design. The Auditorium seats people. It has a small stage with a disappearing screen for film projection. The Auditorium is connected to the building by an underground passage which also provides sheltered access to the building from the bus stop near the front of the building.

The Cafeteria seats about people at one time. It is divided into one main dining room, and one smaller dining room. Employees found an innovation in cafeteria service that was unique in the area. The new set-up is called by various names -- "supermarket", "hollow square", and "open square", -- all attempting to describe a system that permits a patron to go directly to various places where the food he wants is displayed, without standing in a line. The new system is under the management of Government Services Incorporated. In addition there is a small table service dining room. Snack bars are located throughout the building, generally two to a floor. The executive dining rooms and kitchen are located on the seventh floor.

25X9

25X9

Vertical transportation within the building is provided by an escalator serving the Ground and First Floors and four banks of four elevators each serving all floors. Since almost half of the space in the building is provided by the Ground and First Floors, the single escalator can handle a considerable share of the morning and evening traffic. Elevators are the high-speed automatic self-operated type. In addition to the 16-passenger elevators, there are two freight elevators serving all floors.

In the rear of the building two large loading docks are provided for incoming and outgoing mail, supplies, equipment, etc. One of these docks is used primarily to serve the Cafeteria and the Public Building Service.

The building contains modern paper carrying devices. One of these is an automatic Pneumatic Tube System. This system is designed primarily for the movement of small quantities of correspondence type papers and is used where expeditious handling is essential. There are approximately 150 stations from any one of which material can be dispatched to

or received from any other station. In addition to the Pneumatic Tube System, there is a tray conveyor system serving two stations on each floor and from these stations distribution can be made by courier to the surrounding areas. The tray conveyor system can handle bulky material such as magazines, books, newspapers, folders and even office supplies. Each tray can carry a 30-pound load. The system has a capacity for picking up 8 trays per minute.

Classified trash is destroyed by burning at two points in the basement of the building. Employees may deposit bagged classified trash in incinerator chutes at any of four points on each floor.

Clocks controlled by a master clock are installed throughout the building, although not necessarily in every room. Alarm systems throughout the building are wired to a central control room.

Appendix P

Photographs

| Figure | | Aerial view, original Headquarters area, 2430 E Street NW, Washington, D. C. Building with light roof was Central Building; smaller building on courtyard was East Building; and the third building was the Administration Building (formerly the South Building). |
|--------|-----|--|
| Figure | 2 | Central Building, 2430 E Street NW. |
| Figure | 3 . | East Building, 2430 E Street NW. |

- Administration Building, 2430 E Street NW. Figure 4
- Alcott and Barton Halls (scientific and Figure 5 technical intelligence centers).
- Building 13 (Security's "black box" Figure 6 building).
- Building 14 (Graphics Register). Figure 7
- Tempos I, J, K, and L ("Spook" quarters). Figure 8
- Figure 9 1016 16th Street NW (Office of Personnel and Office of Training facilities. overt employment office for Agency as listed in telephone directory).
- Figure 10 Site and block model, Campus Scheme, 1956.
- Figure 11 Cornerstone ceremony, Headquarters Building, November 3, 1959.
- Cornerstone, Headquarters'Building Figure 12
- Figure 13 Building under construction, 1959-60.

Figure 14 Aerial view, Main entrance. Auditorium under construction (Summer 1962). Figure 15 Aerial view, Main and North entrances, auditorium under construction (Summer 1962). Figure 16 Aerial view, Main entrance (Spring 1963). Figure 17 Aerial view, North end (Spring 1963). Aerial view, road system and Headquarters Figure 18 (GW Parkway access at top of photo. Printing Services Building and part of Steam Plant visible center and lower left.) Figure 19 Aerial view, access road from Route 123. Figure 20 Aerial view, cafeteria entrances (Summer 1963). Auditorium, main entrance (Summer 1963). Figure 21 Figure 22 Auditorium, side view. Figure 23 Auditorium, close up of dome paneling and junction of auditorium lobby with amphitheater. Figure 24 Auditorium, lobby entrance. Figure 25 Auditorium, construction detail, lobby entrance. Figure 26 Mr. Dulles's "campus." (SE corner of building in foreground.) Figure 27 Main entrance, construction detail. Figure 28 Canopy, main entrance, construction detail. Figure 29 🗀 Cafeteria, arches and windows. Figure 30 Cafeteria, windows and arches.

| Figure | 31 | Cafeteria, arches and reflections. (Note construction detail of arches.) |
|--------|----|---|
| Figure | 32 | South Cafeteria, arches over entrance. |
| Figure | 33 | North Cafeteria, interior detail. |
| Figure | 34 | Cafeteria, side view. |
| Figure | 35 | Courtyard, Headquarters Building. |
| Figure | 36 | Lobby and CIA Seal, main entrance. |
| Figure | 37 | Lobby, main entrance. |
| Figure | 38 | Corridor on courtyard, first floor. |
| Figure | 39 | Leased property: (1) Chamber of Commerce Building and (2) 1000 Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia. (During its years as the home of OTR, 1000 Glebe was known as "Blue U.") |
| Figure | 40 | Leased property: (1) Key Building and (2) Ames Building, Rosslyn (Arlington), Virginia. |
| Figure | 41 | "Assigned" property, the National Photographic Interpretation Center (NPIC), |
| | | |






Figure 1
Aerial view, original Headquarters
Area.






Central Building, 2430 E Street



Figure 3
East Building, 2430 E Street NW.




Figure 4
Administration Building, 2430 E
Street NW.



-Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4 Figure 6
Building 13 (Security's "black box" building). BUILDING 13 Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-










Figure 10
Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RSP\$\$-06969R00000000000000014 Campus Scheme, 1956

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

DWIGHT D EISENHOWER

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

ALLEN W DULLES

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

GENERAL CHARLES P CABELL

DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

LAWRENCE K WHITE

DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR SUPPORT

25X1

CHIEF BUILDING PLANNING STAFF

FRANKLIN FLOETE GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR

F MORAN Mc CONIHE COMMISSIONER PUBLIC BUILDINGS SERVICE

ARCHITECTS

WALLACE K HARRISON MAX ABRAMOVITZ

ASSOCIATE ARCHITECT
FREDERIC R KING

CHAS H TOMPKINS CO - J A JONES CONSTRUCTION CO


1959

Figure 12



Figure 14
Aerial view, Main entrance.
(Summer 1962).

Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA_RDR02_00930R000100020004



d For Release 6002/65/67: @LLRDP6660936R600166660000 Hill the control of the first the second of the first th हिल्लामा अन्यकारणाण १ वस्ति भिन्न । ११ - १ १५ ९१म् व ११११ मु १५१ Figure 16
Aerial view, Main entrance (Spring 1963). t F Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001-4




Figure 17
Aerial view, North end (Spring 1963).




Figure 18
Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP93-06939R000100020001-4
quarters area.









Figure 19
Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP 3-0193-0193-0100 0002006 4 road from
















Approved For Pelease 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDP03-009399900199920001





Figure 33 North Cafeteria, interior detail.







Figure 35 Courtyard, Headquarters Building. Approved For Release 2002/05/07 : CIA-RDR93-00939B000100020001-4



Figure 36 Lobby and CIA Seal, main entrance.








Figure 39
Leased property: (1) Chamber of
Commerce Building and (2) 1000
Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia.




Figure 40
Leased property: (1) Key Building
Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP93-00939R000100020001ng, Rosslyn, Va.